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What is the Summary of Performance?

The 2004 reauthorization of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEIA) required that “a public agency must provide the child with a summary of the child’s academic achievement and functional performance, which shall include recommendations on how to assist the child in meeting the child’s postsecondary goals...when a child graduates with a regular diploma or exceeds the age of eligibility under State law” [300.305 (e) (3)]. The Summary of Performance (SOP) serves as the link between high school and post-school experiences by providing a document that includes evaluation records, post-school goals, and accommodations to support attainment of post-school goals for students with disabilities (Richter & Mazzotti, 2011). A well-developed SOP will provide transition personnel (e.g., adult service providers, colleges and universities, high schools), students, and families with a resource to facilitate a student’s transition into post-school life (Izzo & Kochhar-Bryant, 2006).

Why is the SOP in Transition Planning Important?

IDEA (2004) does not include instructions regarding completion of SOPs for students with disabilities transitioning into post-school life. Additionally, states are entitled to interpret federal laws individually and design procedures to meet the minimum requirements accordingly, resulting in inconsistency across the field in terms of information included in SOPs (Richter & Mazzotti, 2011; Shaw, Keenan, Madaus, & Banerjee, 2010). Consequently, several professional organizations [e.g., Association on Higher Education and Disability (AHEAD), Council for Exceptional Children’s Division on Career Development and Transition (DCDT)] as well as collaborative groups of researchers have provided suggestions for developing SOPs. Setting standards for developing SOPs is critical. Therefore, SOPs that include student records, evaluation reports, and information related to functional/academic performance and accommodations serve as a resource for postsecondary agencies (e.g., institutions of higher education, employers) to determine eligibility for services and accommodations for students with disabilities (Mazzotti, Kelly, & Coco, 2015; Shaw, Keenan, Madaus, & Banerjee, 2010).
What Does the Literature Say About the SOP in Transition Planning?

The purpose of this annotated bibliography is to provide special education professionals and researchers with guidelines and suggestions for completing SOPs to facilitate students’ successful transition to postsecondary life.

References


• Indicates that SOPs may not provide sufficient information for students to substantiate eligibility for accommodations on high-stakes tests in post-secondary settings.
• Discriminates between accommodations eligibility under IDEA and ADA.
• Provides a side-by-side comparison of documentation needed for accommodation eligibility by the Educational Testing Service and the College Board.
• Recommends several considerations for testing agencies determining eligibility for accommodations based on disability, including: (a) multiple sources of information, (b) cross validation of disability across evidences, (c) statements about prior success with specific accommodations, and (d) information about prior performance with and without accommodations.

Indicates that a SOP should include a description of students’ academic, cognitive, and functional skills in the last year of high school.

- Recommends that individuals preparing SOPs consider several pieces of information including an employability profile; career plan; career and technical education achievement profile; transcripts; functional behavior assessments; adaptive behavior assessments; psychological assessments; strength-based assessments; information from the student, family, school and adult service personnel; details of students’ prior successful use of accommodations, modifications and assistive technology devices; descriptions of students’ strengths and potential post-secondary needs; and information of previous interagency collaboration.


- Presents information about the SOP requirements in IDEA (2004) in simple language for parents of students with disabilities.


- Reviews the SOP requirements and described the five sections of the Nationally Ratified Summary of Performance template
  - The five sections include: (a) background information, (b) student’s post-secondary goals, (c) summary of academic, cognitive, and functional skills, (d) recommendations to facilitate student’s attainment of post-secondary goals, and (e) student input.
- Provides an example of a completed SOP for James, an 18-year-old student with LD.


- States *accurate* SOPs are a result of student participation in development of the document.
- Indicates that SOPs must include statements of SD skills, as self-determination is an integral part of functional performance.
- Suggests several strategies and resources for transition assessment, including: reviewing background information; conducting interviews; and gaining other assessment information from standardized instruments, curriculum-based
assessments, performance samples, situational assessments, and other behavioral observation techniques.


- Recommends that students with disabilities who have been accepted to college contact the university disability services office and bring their SOP to the disability services office on campus before classes begin.
- Indicates colleges and universities generally require evaluation data; information about the impact of the disability; and statements about student history with assistive technology devices, accommodations, and services.
- Indicates that students’ SOPs should include background information, post-secondary goals, a summary of student performance, recommendation for accommodations and other supports likely to be essential to students with disabilities in post-secondary settings, and a statement of student perspective of the impact of the disability and previous supports.


- Indicates that students with learning disabilities who plan to request accommodations from a college of university need the following data: (a) from a recent standard norm-referenced psycho-educational evaluation that was conducted by a qualified professional, (b) that substantiates the impact of the disability on a “major life activity” (p. 53), and (c) that indicates the benefits that students experienced as a result of specific accommodations.
- Suggests a SOP template and instructions from the National Transition Documentation Summit.


- Presents two case studies and example SOPs for two students exiting high school, including a student with a specific learning disability transitioning to college and a student with a cognitive disability transitioning to supported employment.

• Presents the SOP as a “Transition Passport” that can facilitate transition from school to adult life for students with significant cognitive disabilities.
• Describes the five parts of the SOP including (a) background information, (b) post-secondary goals, (c) a summary of student performance, (d) recommendation for accommodations and other supports likely to be essential to students with disabilities in post-secondary settings, and (e) student input.
• Identifies information needed by Vocational Rehabilitation for individuals to receive services, including (a) initial disability identification with date of diagnosis, (b) how disability diagnosis was made, (c) qualifications of the individual making the determination, (d) the impact of the disability on individual’s life, and (e) the impact of the disability on individual's academic and functional abilities.
• Names several types of assessments to gather information about a student.
• Provides a completed SOP for Carlos, a 20-year-old student with autism and significant intellectual disabilities.


• Presents SOP as a document that aligns the requirements of IDEA (2004) with those of section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act and the Americans with Disabilities Act.
• Provides guidelines that may helpful to states and districts as they develop their own SOP procedures.
• Describes benefits of the SOP as a transition planning tool are the improvements in the following areas: (a) students’ self-determination skills via participation in the SOP process, (b) students’ ability to address challenges of post-secondary access to supports, (c) interagency collaboration with Vocational Rehabilitation, (d) students’ knowledge of financial aid resources and procedures, (e) students’ access to technology, and (f) students’ preparation for the policies of various institutions (e.g., universities, community colleges).
• States that faculty at institutions of higher education may be better able to serve students with disabilities with an SOP, given the comprehensive information included in the document. Finally, authors provide general guidelines for completing a SOP and the SOP template developed by the National Transition Documentation Summit and guidelines specific to SOPs for a student with LD who will attend a university in post-secondary life.

• Indicates that in order to address information required by Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) to verify an individual’s disability status SOPs should include the following information: (a) initial disability identification with date of diagnosis, (b) manner in which disability status was decided, (c) qualifications of the individual making the determination, (d) the impact of the disability on individual’s life, (e) the impact of the disability on individual’s academic and functional abilities.

• Suggests specific skill areas to address in SOPs include academics, socialization, independent living skills, career, and self-determination.

• Recommends collaboration between professionals in special education, VR, and institutions of higher education.


• Suggests that transition assessment information should include traditional assessment categories related to learning styles, career interests, and academic performance but also consider additional areas, including: (a) community and civic participation, (b) post-secondary education, (c) vocational training, (d) recreation and leisure, (e) personal management, (f) employment, (g) daily living and independent living, (h) transportation and mobility, (i) interpersonal skills and social relationships, (j) health, (k) wellness, and (l) medical matters.

• Presents a list of 11 components that should be included in SOPs.


• Makes suggestions for each section of a SOP
  - Part I, Demographic Information, include the student’s initial disability diagnosis and reports from most recent informal and formal evaluations.
  - Part 2, Student Post-secondary Goals, should include the post-secondary goals in the IEP that meet the standards of IDEA (2004).
  - Part 3, students’ Present Level of Educational Performance and Essential Accommodations, Modifications, and Assistive Technology should include the following areas: (a) academics (i.e., reading, math, and written language), (b) cognitive skills (i.e., general ability and problem solving, attention, and executive functioning), and (c) functional skills (i.e., social skills and behavior; independent living skills; environmental access and mobility; self-determination and self-advocacy; and career, vocational, and transition considerations).
• Indicates that the SOP may be a tool for improving students’ self-determination skills.


• Describes key changes to IDEA (2004) that have implications for transition-aged students, including less stringent procedures for triennial reviews, SOP requirements, increase of age for initial transition services from 14 (IDEA, 1997) to 16 per IDEA (2004), and eligibility criteria for students with LD.

• Indicates that post-secondary institutions will likely use the information in the SOP to make decisions related to the (a) students’ status as a person with a disability, (b) effect of the disability on the student’s life, and (c) supports that students have effectively used in the past.


• Reviews changes to IDEA (2004) including LD diagnosis, transition services, and the SOP requirements.

• Presents implications for transition-aged students.

• Indicates that the SOP requirements can bridge a gap between high school and post-secondary education because the document provides a broad description of students’ strengths and needs.


• Discusses changes to federal transition mandates including exit documentation as well as implications for service eligibility in post-secondary settings.

• Indicates that vague federal guidelines regarding Summary of Performance results in varied interpretations of requirements across states.

• Provides an overview of six articles included in a special issue in *Assessment for Effective Intervention* related to Summary of Performance.

• Describes the Student-Directed Summary of Performance (SD-SOP) procedure by which students work collaboratively with families and special education professionals to create a resource that includes evaluation information, accommodations, and post-secondary goals.
• Describes benefits to students including increased student participation in the transition planning process and improved self-determination skills.
• Appendix A includes (a) instructions and definitions for completing the SD-SOP, (b) a student cover letter to send to the adult service providers with the SD-SOP, and (c) a blank SD-SOP template.
• Appendices B and C present the SOP worksheet form a public school district and SOP IEP page.


• This is the first experimental study conducted on the SOP.
• The study used a multiple-probe across participants design to investigate the effects of a student-directed SOP on participation in person-centered planning (PCP) meetings for young adults with intellectual disability.
• Results showed increased participation during PCP meetings for all study participants, and participants were able to generalize use of the SOP to employment settings.
• Implications for future research and practice are included.


• This position paper provides guidelines for transition personnel to work with students with disabilities, families, and adult service providers in providing ongoing transition assessment
• Discusses the importance of transition assessment in developing the SOP.


• Provides the federal definition of the SOP, including the history and legal basis for the SOP.
• Includes the relevance of the SOP for students with disabilities based on a survey conducted by Project Forum, a national project charged with identifying critical topics in special education.
• Discusses the SOP in relation to evidence-based practices and instructional recommendations
• Includes web-based resources for further information about the SOP.


• Reviews the literature focused on the SOP.
• Provides recommendations for developing and using the SOP to ensure students with disabilities can effectively use the SOP as tool to assist students in achieving post-school goals.
• Recommendations for research related to the use of the SOP are included.


• Reviews the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA; 2004) in terms of its implications for transition assessment and documentation.
• Includes the IDEA definition of Summary of Performance.
• Indicates that SOPs may be helpful for students with disabilities because the document could describe not only students’ abilities, but also identify supports that were effective during high school.
• States that IDEA is ambiguous in terms of recommended procedures for summarizing students’ academic and functional abilities.


• Indicates that IDEA (2004) includes a focus on transition assessment.
• Suggests several strategies and resources for transition assessment, including: reviewing background information; conducting interviews; and gaining other assessment information from standardized instruments, curriculum-based assessments, performance samples, situational assessments, and other behavioral observation techniques.
• Provides recommendations for assessing environments in which the students will live, work and learn after high school and involving a variety of stakeholders in the assessment process.
• Presents the SOP as a convenient document in which to present assessment information at graduation and during major milestones in a student’s school career.


• Provides an overview of the current status of SOP implementation.
• Includes web-based resources for practitioners related to the SOP.
• Provides recommendations for developing and using the SOP throughout the transition planning process, including fostering self-determination and self-advocacy for youth with disabilities.
• Discusses the components of the SOP and provides suggestions and examples of information that should be included in the SOP.


• Discusses the disconnect between federal mandates at the secondary (i.e., IDEA 2004) and postsecondary (i.e., ADAAA 2008) levels.
• Provides information related to how the SOP can be used to bridge the gap for students with disabilities by providing documentation of student’s present levels of academic and functional performance, including accommodations to be successful in post-school life.
• Suggestions for schools and state education agencies related to implementation of a comprehensive SOP are provided.
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